

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN NORTHERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Case No. 23-cr-20235

Plaintiff.

HON. THOMAS L. LUDINGTON

United States District Judge

v.

RANDALL ROBERT BERKA, II,

Violations:

18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(4)

Defendant.

26 U.S.C. § 5861(d) 18 U.S.C. § 875(c)

18 U.S.C. § 115(a)(1)(B)

SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT

FILED

AUG 09 2023

THE GRAND JURY CHARGES:

U.S. DISTRICT COURT BAY CITY, MICHIGAN

COUNT ONE Possession of a Firearm by a Prohibited Person 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(4)

On or about March 9, 2023, in the Eastern District of Michigan, RANDALL ROBERT BERKA, II, knowing he had been adjudicated a mental defective and having been committed to a mental institution, knowingly possessed, in and affecting commerce, a firearm, that is, a Galil, Model Ace Sar, .556 caliber rifle, a Kalashnikov, Model KS-12, 12 gauge shotgun, a Masada, Model 9S, 9mm caliber, semi-automatic pistol. and a Zatstava, Model Z-Pap M70, 7.62x39mm caliber rifle, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 922(g)(4).

COUNT TWO Possession of an Unregistered Firearm 26 U.S.C. § 5861(d)

On or about March 9, 2023, in the Eastern District of Michigan, RANDALL ROBERT BERKA, II, knowingly possessed a short barrel rifle, that is, a semi-automatic rifle, containing a Fostech, Model Tech-15 lower receiver, and having a barrel of less than 16 inches in length as defined in 26 U.S.C. § 5845, which was not registered to him in the National Firearms Registration and Transfer Record, in violation of Title 26, United States Codes, Sections 5841, 5861(d) and 5871.

COUNT THREE

Interstate Communication Containing Threat to Kill or Injure Through Use of the Internet 18 U.S.C. § 875(c)

On or about February 20, 2023, in the Eastern District of Michigan, RANDALL BERKA, II, knowingly and willfully transmitted in interstate and foreign commerce, by use of the internet, a comment on Youtube, a social media platform, a communication which contained a threat to kill or injure PERSON A, specifically:

"you could be like me and get guns and threaten to kill politicians. im more than willing to kill PERSON A and I do live in Michigan. ill assault her ugly face with my bullets. she was never s assaulted shes too ugly for it. she let herself be a p hole for the WEF"

In violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 875(c).

COUNT FOUR Influencing a Federal Official by Threat 18 U.S.C. § 115(a)(1)(B)

On or about March 9, 2023, in the Eastern District of Michigan, the defendant, RANDALL BERKA, II, threatened to assault a federal law enforcement officer with the intent to impede, intimidate, interfere with and retaliate against the officer, while the officer was engaged in, and on account of, the performance of his official duties, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 115(a)(1)(B).

FORFEITURE ALLEGATIONS

The allegations contained in Count One of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(d)(1).

Upon conviction of an offense charged in Count One of this Indictment, RANDALL ROBERT BERKA, II, shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 924(d)(1), any firearm or ammunition involved in said offenses including but not limited to a Galil, Model Ace Sar, .556 caliber rifle,

a Kalashnikov, Model KS-12, 12 gauge shotgun, a Masada, Model 9S, 9mm caliber, semi-automatic pistol. and a Zatstava, Model Z-Pap M70, 7.62x39mm caliber rifle.

The allegations in Count Two of this Indictment are hereby realleged and incorporated by reference for the purpose of alleging forfeiture pursuant to Title 26, United States Code, Section 5872.

Pursuant to Title 26, United States Code, Section 5872, upon conviction of an offense in violation of Title 26, United States Code, Section 5861, the defendant shall forfeit to the United States any firearms involved in or used in the knowing commission of the offense(s).

THIS IS A TRUE BILL.

s/Grand Jury Foreperson
GRAND JURY FOREPERSON

Dated: August 9, 2023

DAWN N. ISON United States Attorney

s/Anthony P. Vance
ANTHONY P. VANCE
Assistant United States Attorney
Chief – Branch Offices

s/Katharine Hemann KATHARINE HEMANN Assistant United States Attorney 101 First Street, Ste. 200 Bay City, Michigan 48708 Phone: (989)891-0363

Katharine.Hemann@usdoj.gov

Case 1:23-cr-20235-TLL-PTM ECF No. 25, PageID.69 Filed 08/09/23 Page 5 of 5

Companion Case information MUS	T be completed by AUSA and in	itialed.
United States District Court Eastern District of Michigan	Criminal Case Cover Shee	t Case Number
NOTE: It is the responsibility of the Assistant U.S. A	attorney signing this form to complete it accurate	ely in all respects.
Companion Case Information	Companion C	ase Number:
This may be a companion case based upor	LCrR 57.10 (b)(4)¹: Judge Assign	ned:
□ Yes □ No	AUSA's Initia	ls: KH
Case Title: USA v. Randall F		
County where offense occur	red: Huron County	
Check One: X Felony □	Misdemeanor □ Petty	
Indictment/In	no prior complaint. formation based upon prior complaint based upon LCrR 57.10	elaint [Case number:] (d) [Complete Superseding section below].
Superseding Case Information	n	
Superseding to Case No <u>: 23-cr-202</u>		omas L. Ludington
☐ Involves, for plea purpose	onal charges or defendants. es, different charges or adds counts. natter but adds the additional defenda	nts or charges below:
Defendant name Randall Robert Berka II	<u>Charges</u> 18 U.S.C. 875(c) 18 U.S.C. 115(a)(1)(B)	Prior Complaint (if applicable)
Please take notice that the below li the above captioned case.	sted Assistant United States Att	orney is the attorney of record for
Date:, August 9, 2023	s/Katharine Hemann Katharine Hemann Assistant United States 101 First Street, Suite 20 Phone: 989-895-5712 Fax: 989-895-5790 E-Mail address: Katharin	00, Bay City, MI 48708

Attorney Bar #: WSBA# 46237

¹ Companion cases are matters in which it appears that (1) substantially similar evidence will be offered at trial, (2) the same or related parties are present, and the cases arise out of the same transaction or occurrence. Cases may be companion cases even though one of them may have already been terminated.